## Chapter 1200 Appeal

1201 Introduction 1202 Channels of Ex Parte Review 1203 Composition of Board 1204 Administrative Handling 1205 Notice of Appeal 1206 Appeal Brief 1207 Amendment Filed With or After Appeal 1208 Examiner's Answer 1208.01 New Reference, New Objection or New Ground of Rejection in Examiner's Answer 1208.02 Withdrawal of Final Rejection 1209 Oral Hearing 1210 Actions Subsequent to Examiner's Answer But Before Board's Decision 1211 Remand by the Board to Consider Amendment 1212 Remand by the Board to Consider Afficients or Declarations 1213 Decision by Board 1213.01 Recommendations of Board 1213.02 Statement as to Rejection of an Allowed Claim 1214 Actions following Decision by Board 1214.01 New Ground of Rejection 1214.02 Procedure after Decision 1214.03 Rehearing and Reconsideration 1214.04 Examiner Reversed 1214.05 Cancellation of Withdrawn Claims 1214.06 Examiner Sustained in Whole or in Part 1214.07 Reopening of Prosecution 1215 Withdrawal or Dismissal of Appeal 1215.01 Withdrawal of Appeal 1215.02 Claims Standing Allowed 1215.03 Partial Withdrawal 1215.04 Dismissal of Appeal 1215.05 Case Before the Court 1216 Appeals to Courts 1216.01 To Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit 1216.02 Civil Suits

## 1201 Introduction

The Patent and Trademark Office in administering the Patent Laws makes many decisions of a discretionary nature which the applicant may feel deny him or her the patent protection to which he or she is entitled. The differences of opinion on such matters can be justly resolved only by prescribing and following judicial procedures. Where the differences of opinion concern the denial of patent claims because of prior art or material deficiencies in the disclosure set forth in the application, the questions thereby raised are said to relate to merits, and appeal procedure within the Patent and Trademark Office and to the courts has long been provided by statute.

The line of demarcation between appealable matters for the Board of Appeals and petitionable matters for the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks should be carefully observed. The Board will not ordinarily hear a question which it believes should be decided by the Commissioner, and the Commissioner will not ordinarily entertain a petition where the question presented is an appealable matter. However, since 37 CFR 1.181(f) states that any petition not filed within two months from the action complained of may be dismissed as untimely and since 37 CFR 1.144 states that petitions from restriction requirements must be filed no later than appeal, petitionable matters will rarely be present in a case by the time it is before the Board for a decision. Note In re Hengehold, 169 USPQ 473 (CCPA 1971).

